UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,955	03/31/2004	Jonathan Lee Orwant	528401-7	5873
60337 THORNE & HA	7590 11/13/200 ALAJIAN	EXAMINER		
APPLIED TEC	HNOLOGY CENTER		WENDELL, ANDREW	
111 WEST MAIN STREET BAY SHORE, NY 11706			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/813,955	ORWANT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANDREW WENDELL	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Journal 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowanclosed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2 since the same accordance with the practice accordance accordance with the practice accordance accorda	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,9-20,22,28-42 and 45-53</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1, 5-6, 9-20, 22, 28-42, 45-53</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate			

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/29/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-6, 9, 11-20, 22, 33-42, 47-48, and 50 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vataja (US Pat Pub# 2002/0123327) in view of Teshima (US Pat Pub# 2002/0032035).

Regarding claim 1, Vataja's location-based message teaches enabling both the sender and the intended recipient to send and receive an electronically deliverable message (Sections 0023 and 0033); obtaining a message provided by the sender (Sections 0027-0028); obtaining a location designated by the sender for delivery of the message (Sections 0004-0006 and 0027); tracking a specified mobile object having a position-determining device that determines its own current position, and which

transmits its then current position at preset time intervals (Sections 0029-0030); determining from the transmitted current position whether the specified mobile object has reached the designated location (Sections 0007 and 0031); and initiating a procedure for automatic delivery of the message electronically to the intended recipient upon the specified mobile object being determined to have reached the designated location (Sections 0008 and 0031); wherein the specified mobile object is identified by the sender, and has a motion characteristic not associated with motion of the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message as taught by Teshima into Vataja's location- based message in order to increase revenue (Sections 0005-0006).

Regarding claim 5, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the intended recipient is animate (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0031 and 0033).

Regarding claim 6, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the sender is a mobile object (Sections 0027-0028).

Regarding claim 9, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the message is at least of text (SMS, Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0031).

Regarding claim 11, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein delivery of the message is controlled in accordance with a delivery rule provided by the sender (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032).

Regarding claim 12, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein initiating the procedure for automatic delivery of the message upon detection of the specified mobile object reaching the designated location message comprises processing the delivery rule (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032).

Regarding claim 13, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the obtaining of the message comprises receiving and storing a message based on input from the sender (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032).

Regarding claim 14, the combination including Teshima teaches wherein the obtaining of the message comprises retrieving a message from among a plurality of stored messages based on input from the sender (Sections 0015 and 0047-0051).

Regarding claim 15, the combination including Teshima teaches wherein the obtaining of the designated location comprises obtaining a location based on input from the sender (Sections 0015 and 0047-0051).

Regarding claim 16, the combination including Teshima teaches wherein the obtaining of the designated location comprises retrieving a location from among a plurality of stored locations based on input from the sender (Sections 0100-0101).

Regarding claim 17, the combination including Vataja teaches further comprising obtaining an identification of the intended recipient based on input from the sender (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0033).

Regarding claim 18, the combination including Teshima teaches wherein the rule includes instructions for repeating delivery of the message (Section 0137).

Regarding claim 19, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the intended recipient includes a plurality of recipients identified by the sender (Section 0051 and 0068).

Regarding claim 20, Vataja teaches providing each of the clients with a position-determining device that determines its own current position (Sections 0027 and 0029); obtaining, at the server, a message based on input from a first client (Sections 0027-0028); obtaining, at the server, a designated location based on input from the first client (Sections 0004-0006 and 0027); obtaining, at the server, an identification of a second client as the intended recipient of the message, based on input from the first client (Sections 0031-0032); obtaining, at the server, identification one of the clients which is to be tracked for delivery of the message (Section 0029 and 0031-0032); determining, from the position-determining device of the client to be tracked for delivery of the message, whether the client being tracked has arrived at the designated location (Sections 0007 and 0031); automatically triggering electronic delivery of the message

to the intended recipient upon the tracked mobile client being determined to have arrived at the designated location (Sections 0008 and 0031); and identifying the tracked mobile client, wherein the tracked mobile client has a motion characteristic not associated with motion of the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the first client provided the input (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Regarding claim 22, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the step of obtaining identification of a client to be tracked for delivery of the message comprises obtaining the identification based on input from the first client (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0031).

Regarding claim 33, Vataja teaches enabling each of the plurality of users to both send and receive electric message data (Sections 0023 and 0033); processing and storing electronic message data provided by the sender (Sections 0027-0028); tracking the position of the specified mobile object (Sections 0007 and 0031); automatically delivering the stored message data to the intended recipient upon arrival of the

specified mobile has a motion characteristic not associated with motion of the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the electric message data (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Regarding claim 34, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the message data includes the message, the intended recipient, and a delivery rule (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0031).

Regarding claim 35, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the message data includes the message (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0031).

Regarding claim 36, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the message data includes the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0031).

Regarding claim 37, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the message data includes a delivery rule (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0031).

Regarding claim 38, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the message data includes identity of the specified mobile object (Sections 0004-0008, 0025, and 0027-0032).

Regarding claim 39, the combination including Vataja teaches wherein the message data includes the designated location (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0031).

Regarding claim 40, Vataja teaches the means for enabling the sender and the intended recipient to both send and receive an electronically deliverable message (Sections 0023 and 0033); means for obtaining a message provided by the sender (Sections 0025-0028); means for obtaining a location designated by the sender for delivery of the message (Sections 0004-0006 and 0027); means for tracking a specified mobile object having a position-determining device that determines its own current position, and which transmits its then current position at preset time intervals (Sections 0007, 0029, and 0031); means for determining from the transmitted current position whether the specified mobile object has reached the designated location (Sections 0007, 0029, and 0031); means for initiating a procedure for automatic delivery of the message electronically to the intended recipient upon the specified mobile object being determined to have reached the designated location (Sections 0008 and 0031); and identifying the specified mobile object, wherein the specified mobile object has a motion characteristic not associated with motion of the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to

each other). Vataja fails to teach wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Regarding claim 41, Vataja teaches means for obtaining, at the server, a message based on input from a first client (Sections 0025-0028); means for obtaining, at the server, a designated location based on input from the first client (Sections 0004-0006 and 0027); means for obtaining, at the server, an identification of a second client as the intended recipient of the message, based on input from the first client (Sections 0004-0006, 0027-0028, and 0031-0032); means for obtaining, at the server, identification of a mobile client to be tracked for delivery of the message (Sections 0007, 0029, and 0031); means for determining, from the position-determining device of the client to be tracked for delivery of the message, whether the client being tracked has arrived at the designated location (Sections 0007, 0029, and 0031); means for automatically triggering electronic delivery of the message to the intended recipient upon the tracked mobile client being determined to have arrived at the designated location (Sections 0008 and 0031); and means for identifying the tracked mobile client, wherein the tracked mobile client has a motion characteristic not associated with motion of the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices

Art Unit: 2618

had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the first client provided the input (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Regarding claim 42, Vataja teaches means for obtaining, at the server, a message based on input from a first client (Sections 0025-0028); means for obtaining, at the server, a designated location based on input from the first client (Sections 0004-0006 and 0027); means for obtaining, at the server, a delivery rule based on input from the first client for delivering the message to an intended recipient, wherein the delivery rule includes arrival of a specified mobile client at the designated location (Sections 0004-0006, 0027-0028, and 0031-0032); means for determining, from the position-determining device of the mobile client, whether the specified mobile client has arrived at the designated location (Sections 0007, 0029, and 0031); means for upon the specified mobile client being determined to have arrived at the designated location, triggering electronic delivery of the message to the intended recipient, based upon the delivery rule (Sections 0008 and 0031); and means for identifying the tracked mobile client, wherein the tracked mobile client has a motion characteristic not associated with motion of the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices

Art Unit: 2618

had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the first client provided the input (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Regarding claim 47, Vataja teaches means for enabling the sender and the intended recipient to both send and receive an electronically deliverable message (Sections 0023 and 0033); means for processing and storing message data provided by the sender (Sections 0025-0028); means for tracking the position of the specified mobile object (Sections 0007, 0029, and 0031); means for automatically delivering a message electronically to the intended recipient upon arrival of the specified mobile object at a designated location (Sections 0008 and 0031); and means for identifying the specified mobile object, wherein the specified mobile object has a motion characteristic not associated with motion of the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message.

Art Unit: 2618

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message data (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Regarding claim 48, Vataja teaches obtaining a message provided by the sender (Sections 0025-0028); obtaining a location designated by the sender for delivery of the message (Sections 0004-0006 and 0027); tracking a specified mobile object having a position-determining device that determines its own current position, and which transmits its then current position at preset time intervals (Sections 0029-0031); determining from the transmitted current position whether the specified mobile object has reached the designated location (Sections 0007, 0029, and 0031); and initiating a procedure for automatic delivery of the message electronically to the intended recipient upon the specified mobile object being determined to have reached the designated location, and wherein the specified mobile object has a motion characteristic not associated with motion of the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches

Art Unit: 2618

the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Regarding claim 50, Vataja teaches obtaining a message provided by the sender (Sections 0025-0028); obtaining a location designated by the sender for delivery of the message (Sections 0004-0006 and 0027); tracking a specified mobile object having a position-determining device that determines its own current position, and which transmits its then current position at preset time intervals (Sections 0029-0031); determining from the transmitted current position whether the specified mobile object has reached the designated location (Sections 0007, 0029, and 0031); and initiating a procedure for automatic delivery of the message electronically to the intended recipient upon the specified mobile object being determined to have reached the designated location (Sections 0008 and 0031), wherein the message is at least one of data, text, audio and video modes (SMS, picture; Sections 0025, 0027, and 0034); and identifying the specified mobile object, wherein the specified mobile object has a motion characteristic not associated with motion of the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the mobile sender provided the message (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51,

teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

4. Claims 10 and 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vataja (US Pat Pub# 2002/0123327) in view of Teshima (US Pat Pub# 2002/0032035) and further in view of Owensby (US Pat# 6,647,257).

Regarding claim 10, Vataja in view of Teshima teaches the limitations in claim 1.

Vataja and Teshima fail to teach wherein a mode in which the message is reproduced for the intended recipient is in accordance with a setting controlled by the intended recipient.

Owensby's method for providing targeted messages based on wireless mobile location teaches a mode in which the message is reproduced for the intended recipient is in accordance with a setting controlled by the intended recipient (Col. 9 line 50-Col. 10 line 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a mode in which the message is reproduced for the intended recipient is in accordance with a setting controlled by the intended recipient as taught by Owensby into the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message as taught by Teshima into Vataja's location- based message in order to subsidize the cost and offer interactivity (Col. 10 lines 14-62).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 49, Vataja's method for delivery of advertisement information to mobile units teaches obtaining a message provided by the sender (Sponsor/User) (Sections 0025-0028); obtaining a location designated by the sender for delivery of the message (Sections 0004-0006 and 0027); tracking a specified mobile object having a position-determining device that determines its own current position, and which transmits its then current position at preset time intervals (Sections 0029-0031); determining from the transmitted current position whether the specified mobile object has reached the designated location (Sections 0029-0031); and initiating a procedure for automatic delivery of the message electronically to the intended recipient upon the specified mobile object being determined to have reached the designated location (Sections 0008 and 0031), and wherein the message is at least one of data, text, audio and video modes (SMS, picture; Sections 0025, 0027, and 0034); and wherein the specified mobile object has a motion characteristic not associated with motion of the intended recipient (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach wherein a mode in which the message is reproduced for the intended recipient is in accordance with a setting controlled by the intended recipient and wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state

communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Vataja and Teshima fail to teach wherein a mode in which the message is reproduced for the intended recipient is in accordance with a setting controlled by the intended recipient.

Owensby's method for providing targeted messages based on wireless mobile location teaches a mode in which the message is reproduced for the intended recipient is in accordance with a setting controlled by the intended recipient (Col. 9 line 50-Col. 10 line 11).

5. Claims 28-32, 45-46, and 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vataja (US Pat Pub# 2002/0123327) in view of Kohar et al. (US Pat# 6,987,976) and further in view of Teshima (US Pat Pub# 2002/0032035).

Regarding claim 28, Vataja teaches obtaining a message based on input from the sender (Sections 0027-0028); obtaining a designated location based on input from the sender (Sections 0004-0006 and 0027); obtaining identification of at least two recipients, from among the plurality of potential recipients, specified based on input from the sender as intended recipients of the message (Sections 0025 and 0031-0032); and automatically delivering the message electronically to one of the intended recipients based upon the position of the one of the intended recipients relative to another of the intended recipients, as derived from the position-determining technology (Sections 0008 and 0029-0032), and wherein motion characteristics of the identified recipients are not associated with each other (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if

both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach determining a position of one of the intended recipients relative to another recipient and where a designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input.

Page 17

Kohar teaches determining position of the one of the intended recipients relative to another of the intended recipients, as derived from the position-determining technology (Col. 2 lines 42-56), wherein motion characteristics of the identified recipients are not associated with each other (Col. 2 lines 42-56, again if both devices had the same motion characteristics then there would be no need to determine the positions of both devices if in the same location).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate determining a position of one of the intended recipients relative to another recipient as taught by Kohar into Vataja's location- based message in order to provide a more useful method of providing position information (Col. 1 lines 19-21).

Vataja and Kohar fail to teach where a designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the message as taught by Teshima into determining a position of one of the intended recipients relative to another recipient as taught by Kohar into Vataja's location- based message in order to increase revenue (Sections 0005-0006).

Regarding claim 29, Vataja further teaches wherein each of the plurality of potential recipients includes a position-determining device to determine its current position (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032).

Regarding claim 30, Vataja teaches obtaining, at the server, a message based on input from a first client (Sections 0027-0028); obtaining, at the server, an identification of a second, mobile client as the intended recipient for receiving the message, based on input from the first client (Sections 0004-0006, 0027-0028, and 0031-0032); obtaining, at the server, an identification of a third client, based on input from the first client (Sections 0025 and 0031-0032); and automatically triggering electronic delivery of the message to the intended recipient upon the second, mobile client being determined to be at a designated position (Sections 0008 and 0029-0032), and with the intended recipient and the second, mobile client being at different locations (Sections 0023 and 0025), wherein motion characteristics of the identified recipient, second client and third client are not associated with each other (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja

fails to teach determining a position of one of the intended recipients relative to another recipient and where a designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input.

Kohar teaches determining position of the one of the intended recipients relative to another of the intended recipients, as derived from the position-determining technology (Col. 2 lines 42-56), wherein motion characteristics of the identified recipients are not associated with each other (Col. 2 lines 42-56, again if both devices had the same motion characteristics then there would be no need to determine the positions of both devices if in the same location).

Vataja and Kohar fail to teach where a designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Regarding claim 31, Kohar teaches wherein the third client is also a mobile client having a position-determining device (Col. 2 lines 42-56).

Regarding claim 32, Vataja teaches wherein the first and second clients are the same client (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032).

Regarding claim 45, Vataja teaches means for obtaining a message based on input from the sender (Sections 0025-0028); means for obtaining a designated location

Art Unit: 2618

based on input from the sender (Sections 0004-0006 and 0027); means for obtaining identification of at least two recipients, from among the plurality of potential recipients, based on input from the sender (Sections 0004-0006, 0027-0028, and 0031-0032); and means for automatically delivering the message electronically to one of the identified recipients relative to a different position of another of the identified recipients (Sections 0008 0023, 0025, and 0029-0032), wherein motion characteristics of the identified recipients are not associated with each other (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032), if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach determining a position of one of the intended recipients relative to another recipient and where a designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input.

Kohar teaches determining position of the one of the intended recipients relative to another of the intended recipients, as derived from the position-determining technology (Col. 2 lines 42-56), wherein motion characteristics of the identified recipients are not associated with each other (Col. 2 lines 42-56, again if both devices had the same motion characteristics then there would be no need to determine the positions of both devices if in the same location).

Vataja and Kohar fail to teach where a designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state

communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Regarding claim 46, Vataja teaches means for obtaining, at the server, a message based on input from a first client (Sections 0025-0028); means for obtaining, at the server, an identification of a second, mobile client as the intended recipient for receiving the message, based on input from the first client (Sections 0004-0006, 0027-0028, and 0031-0032); means for obtaining, at the server, an identification of a third client, based on input from the first client (Sections 0004-0006, 0027-0028, and 0031-0032); and means for automatically triggering electronic delivery of the message to the intended recipient upon the second, mobile client to a different position of the third client (Sections 0008, 0023, 0025, and 0029-0032), wherein motion characteristics of the intended recipient, second client, and third client are not associated with each other (Sections 0004-0008 and 0027-0032, if both devices had the same motion than there would be no need to transmit a message since the users would be next to each other). Vataja fails to teach determining a position of one of the intended recipients relative to another recipient and where a designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input.

Kohar teaches determining position of the one of the intended recipients relative to another of the intended recipients, as derived from the position-determining technology (Col. 2 lines 42-56), wherein motion characteristics of the identified recipients are not associated with each other (Col. 2 lines 42-56, again if both devices

Art Unit: 2618

had the same motion characteristics then there would be no need to determine the positions of both devices if in the same location).

Vataja and Kohar fail to teach where a designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input.

Teshima teaches wherein the designated location is a different location from where the sender provided the input (Sections 0015-0016 and 0047-51, teaches the sponsor [can be at home on a home PC or on a mobile phone in a different state communicating to the network navigation center] and the network navigation center where the message is sent is a different location from a designated location).

Regarding claim 51, Kohar further teaches wherein the first and third clients are the same client (Col. 2 lines 42-56, it is obvious the client can own more than one phone and be able to determine position relative to each other).

Regarding claim 52, Kohar further teaches wherein the first and second clients are the same client (Col. 2 lines 42-56, it is obvious the client can own more than one phone and be able to determine position relative to each other).

Regarding claim 53, Kohar further teaches wherein the first and third clients are the same client (Col. 2 lines 42-56, it is obvious the client can own more than one phone and be able to determine position relative to each other).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5-6, 9-20, 22, 28-42, and 45-53 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW WENDELL whose telephone number is (571)272-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Wendell/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618

11/8/2009